G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1893-4
191
(In Uzhai)

File No. 280

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Declining to sanction certain exchange of lands Inam to the Anjaneya devasthanam temple in the town of Yedehalli.

W D 1551—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Memo.

Chief Secretary's Om No 18982 dated 7th May 1894 R 1937 forwarding for opinion letter No 452 dated 28th February 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District in re the Inam land attached to the Anjaneya Devaru Temple in Yedéhalli.

The Temple in question was formerly in the enjoyment of Survey No. 3 of Hundur village Extent 8 acres 31 Guntas and assessment Rs 18-...as Surva Inam. In March 1889 the Deputy Commissioner reported that the land was lying waste as no ryots would take up the land for cultivation, on account of certain superstitious disrepute attached to the land and recommended the grant of Survey No. 38 of Gangadhara Hullavalli village Extent 9 Ac 28 Guntas and assessment Rs 27- in exchange therefor, the excess

assessment of Rs 9- over the value of the Inam being charged as Jodi. Accordingly orders were passed in Proceedings No. $\frac{79-80}{4}$ dated 4th April 1889 sanctioning the exchange.

2 Now it is again reported that even Survey No. 38 alluded to above has remained uncultivated since the date of its grant to the Temple owing to the scarcity of ryots in the village to take up the land for cultivation. The Deputy Commissioner therefore recommends in the interests of the Temple that this field be taken back by Government and part of Survey No. 84 of Soragalale village granted instead. This last is an Inam land registered in the name of the Kalamma Temple in Yedehalli. It measures 13 Ac. 39 Guntas in extent and bears an assessment of Rs 23-... of which Rs 6-.. being the value of the Inam to the Temple, the balance Rs 17- is levied as Jodi As both the Kalamma and the Anjaneya Temples are

worshipped by the same Archak who enjoys the Inam lands of both, it is proposed by the Deputy Commissioner, in compliance with the wishes of the Archak, to grant as Inam to the Anjaneya Devasm Temple so much of Survey No. 84 of Singalalé village as will be chargeable with an assessment of Rs 17- in exchange for Survey No. 38 of Gangadhara Hullahalli village leaving the remaining portion thereof to the Kalamma Temple - or in other words, to ~~mark~~ divide the No into 2 separate parts in the proportion of the values of the Inams allowed to the Anjaneya and the Kalamma Temples and register the sub-divisions separately in the names of the two Temples.

3. Such exchanges of one Inam land for another can ordinarily be allowed only when the land already granted becomes uncultivable or otherwise deteriorated in value by circumstances over which the occupants have no control. In the particular case under reference no such reason is advanced. The only ground upon which the Archak prays

for the exchange is that owing to extreme dearth of ryots in the village of Gangadhara Halla-Valli, he has not been able to secure ryots to cultivate the temple land (Survey No. 34 of the village). This plea of the Archak did at first sight strike me as a dodge on his part to secure the whole No. 84 of Sriagalalé village as Inam, especially as the ~~arrangements~~ would serve his convenience to have one extensive land ~~required~~ in the names of both the Temples instead of 2 lands situated in different villages. But on going through the Vernacular papers, I find that the Archak did exert his utmost to bring the land under cultivation and even advanced money from his own pocket for the purpose - but his efforts all proved futile for want of ryots. And the Deputy Commissioner too strongly recommends the exchange for the reason that the Temple is likely to be neglected if not endowed with good lands.

4 Under the foregoing circumstances, I also feel inclined to support the Deputy Commissioner's proposals

Bangalore
25th May 1894.

OFFICE OF THE
BRANCH
DEWAN OF MYSORE

MUZARAI. 13321

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

No. 18982 / R1937 dated 7/8-5-94

Forwarded with enclosures, to the Muzrai Supdt and Secretary to the Dewan for opinion

Bro

(Sd) Anantaswami Iyer
Dewan

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 28

Despatched 28th February 1894

Received

Enclosures No. one file of 4 pp

General No. 452
~~Muzarai No. No.~~

Recommending the grant of acres 13 and 39 guntas, assessed Rs 23/, in survey No 84 of Siragalale village in lieu of acres 9.28 assessed Rs 27/ in survey No 38 of Gangadhar Hallavalli, as Inam to the Anjania Devaru of Yedahalle town for reasons given.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—26-9-93-1,000.

13/4/94 10/8/94

No. 452

Enc. one file of ver. papers

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Kadur District

Dated, Chickmagalur, 28th February 1894

From

The Deputy Commissioner Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 79-80/4 dated 4th April 1889, sanctioning the grant of survey No 38, measuring acres 9.28, assessed at Rs 27/- and situated in Gangadhar Hallavalli, in exchange for survey No 3, extent acres 8.31, assessment Rs 18/- situated in Handur, Yedahalli Sub-Taluk, as Inam to Anjana Devaru at Yedahalli, I have the honor to state that Venkatramanabhar, the Archik of the Devaru referred to

to above, alleges that the land survey No. 38, recently granted in exchange has been waste since the date of its grant, and prays that a portion of survey No. 84 in the Siragalale village may be granted as Inam in exchange for No. 38.

Survey No. 84 referred to in the above para is assessed at Rs 23/- and is in extent 13 acres and 39 Guntas. It forms the Inam granted to Kallamma Devaru and is subject to a jodi of Rs 17/-. The Archik of Anjania Devaru, who worships Kallamma Devaru also, solicits and the villagers agree to the grant as Inam to Anjania Devaru of such portion of survey No 84 as will be chargeable with an assessment of Rs 17/-. Thus the whole of No. 84 will have to be assigned as Inam to the two deities.

that the

will be neglected if good-
lands are not assigned, coupled
with the fact that the villagers
have no objection to the grant,
I recommend that the exchange
prayed for may be sanctioned

The vernacular correspondence
is enclosed for information
and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Sd) H. H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Murrai.

From

The Superintt of Mur:
in Mysore

To . Melh Secy to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir

With reference to your
Om No $\frac{1898}{R.1937}$ of the 7th
May 1894, I have the honor
to forward herewith a Memo
recording my opinion
in re the Inamland
attached to the Anjaneya
Devarm Temple in Yedehalli
together with the Papers
~~that with~~ with
received ~~under cover of~~
~~Your D.O.~~ herein returned
~~Papers under reply~~
~~Papers~~.

I have &c

A1
Dy Superintt
6.7

30 2/19.9.94

13/4/94 15/6/94
18
En 12 / pte 100

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI BRANCH DEWAN OF MYSORE

1894

MUZARAL

From The Chief Secretary

To The Muzrai Superintendent

Dated 19th September 1894

Despatched 24

Received 24 9 94

Enclosures No.

General No. 5430
Muzrai ~~F. No.~~ No. 799

Forwarding copy of letter no 5429/R 798 dated 19th September 1894 to D-C Kadur regarding the grant of certain land as Inam to the Anjenaya Devaru in the town of Gedehalli

No 1 / 21 8 94

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:—

Letter No 452 dated 28th February 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District recommending the grant of a portion of Survey No 84 of Siragadde village in lieu of 9 acres and 28 gunt as in survey No 38 of Gungadhar Hakkavalli in the Jedehalli Sub Sahik as Inam to the Anjaneya Devaru in the town of Jedehalli.

Order thereon No. 5429 / A 798

Dated, Bangalore, 19th September 1894

The Deputy Commissioner is referred to the principle laid down in Government Proceedings No 4020 / A 974-92 dated 10th September 1892 and is

further

further informed that the proposed exchange must be refused.

(Signed) P. Ananda Sawmy Row.

For Chief Secretary.

To
The Deputy Commissioner Madura Dist. Rely requested.

No 5430/R 799 Copy forwarded to the Superintendent of Muzarai in Mysore with reference to his No. 1374 dated 15th June 1894.

Rep 21/11/94

"By Order"

For Chief Secretary

comp'd Mk.

Rd Mk